


Addendum #1



Project Information

Project Name: Poudre River Restoration Initiative Master Plan

Bid Number: RFQ #F24-01-009

Date: 2/5/24 @ 2 PM MST

Project Manager: Bhooshan Karnik

Addendum Questions

Question #1 Are there any particular milestones or a specific number of public outreach meetings we should include in our schedule?

Answer

The number of outreach meetings will be dependent on the communications and engagement strategy being proposed by the consultant team. This will be further refined during the scoping meeting with the selected consultant.

Question #2

What is the study area including the length and corridor width to be planned?

Answer

The study area is the extent of the Poudre River between the City of Greeley's Long Range Economic Growth Area which extends from approximately 95th Ave to the confluence with the Platte River to the east.

Question #3

Are there identified projects that require detailed design services for cost estimates, or is this more of a high-level vision plan to prioritize future projects?

Answer

The intent is to review available plans and studies, the goals as stated in the RFQ and develop a comprehensive master plan with a projects identified and prioritized with sufficient detail in terms of estimated cost that can be used for preparing funding applications.

Question #4

Are there other related public engagement activities underway to coordinate with this effort?

Answer

No.

Question #5

Is this project funded by grants or any other outside resources?

Answer	The project is funded by the City of Greeley using funding made available through ARPA. However, the project is not considered to be federally funded.
Question #6	For Section V. Response Format B. Use of Subcontractors/Partners, can you elaborate on 'include all other applicable information herein requested for each subcontractor/partner'. Do we need to include subcontractor information for sections C. Minimum Mandatory Qualifications, D. Company Information, E. Evaluation Criteria 1, 2, and 3, F. Qualification Acknowledgement, G. Certificate of Insurance, and H. Debarment Form? If so, should subconsultant information be provided in those particular sections and section B. Use of Subcontractors/Partners be used to simply list subcontractors and their expertise? Or should all subconsultant information be provided in section B? Can you explain what section B. should be used for?
Answer	The language says "all applicable information for each subcontractor/partner." The RFQ specifies areas where this information is needed. For example Section V. C asks for an itemized description of how the team meets the minimum mandatory qualifications outlined in Section II, C. Section II, C makes it clear that every firm on the team needs to meet the minimum mandatory qualifications. Section V. Response Format B is intended to list your subconsultants and their areas of expertise only. It is up to the prime to determine how/when to include the sub-consultant in their project approach and public outreach narrative. Wherever possible, the RFQ specifies "your" and "team" to denote the separation between what information needs to be provided. It is recommended that Exhibit 4 be signed by the prime and any subconsultants on the team.
Question #7	Are Exhibits 1, 3, and 4 included in the page count?
Answer	No. These are not included in the page count.