

# Addendum #1




## Capital Project Committee

### Project Information

Project Name:	Poudre River Bank Stabilization
Bid Number:	FD21-04-111
Date:	May 19, 2021
Project Manager:	Tom Hellen/Justin Scharton

### Addendum Items

Item 1:	Is the engineer hired for the private Duran work eligible to be selected for this RFP, or is there a conflict of interest?
	Yes, the firm currently working with the private landowner on floodplain modeling adjacent to the City's project site will be able to submit a proposal for this RFP should they choose to, and will have to compete with all other valid proposals on the criteria set forth in the RFP
Item 2:	Is there an identified budget for this project?
	Yes, a budget has been identified for this project, but the City is not sharing the budget at this time.
Item 3:	What, if any, local agencies will we have to coordinate with for this project?
	The City of Greeley's Natural Areas & Trails division and Engineering division will be the primary points of contact for the successful consultant. In addition, coordination with Weld County's floodplain administrator will be within the consultant's responsibility. In addition to these local agencies, the consultant will need to coordinate regularly with the adjacent private landowner and their engineering firm doing the modeling and permitting for them
Item 4:	The Proposal Evaluation section of the RFP lists evaluation criterion #5 of 6 total criteria totaling 100 points as: "Experience with Weld County floodplain administrator - 10 points." Is the intent of this criterion specifically focused on experience with the current Weld County floodplain administrator or does experience with previous Weld County floodplain administrators and familiarity working in the basin also meet the intent
	This project is heavily contingent on close coordination with the Weld County floodplain administrator for permitting for both the City's and adjacent private landowner's projects to be successful. Specific experience with the existing Weld County floodplain administrator is helpful, but if not speaking to working with your firm's experience in working through at times potentially contentious issues with floodplain administrators or other similar staff in various municipalities, county governments, etc. will suffice.

Item 5:	<p>The Background section of the RFP mentions the option “for the successful firm to complete additional river stabilization/restoration projects for the City for up to three additional calendar years from the date of execution of the contract at the sole option of the City”. The RFP notes that award of future work packages depends on funding availability and you were also very clear on that during today’s pre-bid meeting. In the event that funding became available for a candidate river stabilization/restoration project, would this contract be the preferred vehicle for that work – or might the City also consider other vehicles (e.g., current on-call contracts) equally or even preferentially – or would each candidate project be determined on a case by case basis?</p>
	<p>The structure of this RFP is to work together on the initial project and then move onto subsequent projects as a team, as many of the projects that are completed in this section of the river are related, similar, and/or at times build upon each other. For those reasons, the successful consultant would be the most likely candidate for future similar projects subject to future annual funding. That said, there may be specific projects or portions of projects where another consultant may be hired as a sub-contractor to the contracted firm, through the City’s on-call contractor list, or through a competitive process, likely in that order</p>
Item 6:	<p>What is meant by large wood structures in the scope of work?</p>
	<p>In past riverbank protection projects, and it is assumed in this project and future projects to some extent, use of large woody structures (e.g. trees with rootballs attached keyed into riverbank) have been used as part of the riverbank protection strategy</p>
Item 7:	<p>What level of detail has been provided in the existing survey?</p>
	<p>The survey completed includes detailed 1-ft contour topographic mapping in the areas identified with a yellow polygon in the screen cap (included separately) and 4 cross sections (red lines). In addition, merging of the survey with LiDAR data to create current conditions surface layer has also been completed.</p>
	
Item 8:	<p>Our firm no longer maintains a fax number. Would the City consider removing the requirement to list fax numbers throughout the proposal?</p>
	<p>A fax number is not required, areas where called out can be left blank.</p>
Item 9:	<p>After selection, can additional firms be added to the on-call team based on the specific needs and requirements of individual task orders?</p>
	<p>New firms can be added to the project team subject to review and approval from Greeley.</p>

Item 10:	B. Use of Subcontractors/Partners and Item 5 in Evaluation Criterion #1 seem to request the same information. Please clarify what the City would like to see in each section or if Section B can be eliminated
	<b>B. Outlines the need to include a list of your sub-contractors. Item 5 in the evaluation criteria informs you that your sub-consultants will also be evaluated.</b>
Item 11:	Evaluation Criterion #6 – Will the selected consultant have the ability to update rates each year during the three additional calendar years allotted to completing additional river stabilization/restoration projects?
	<b>Rates must be held in the first year of the contract and are subject to review, justification and approval by the City for any additional extension of the contract.</b>
Item 12:	In Section II. Statement of Work, subsection B. Period of Award, the City indicates a completion date of September 30, 2021 for the required product and services for the Duran section project. Is it the City's intent that construction along with Task 7 services are to be completed by September 30, 2021? If not, what date does the City see as reasonable for construction and project close-out completion
	<b>Tasks 1 -6 will be completed by the 9/30/2021 deadline. Task 7 will be completed once a contractor is awarded the construction of the project. Current estimates show construction through the fall/winter of 2021 and into early 2022, with project close out in the spring of 2022, with specific dates finalized once the construction project is awarded</b>
Item 13:	In the "Period of Award" (page 9 of 37) it states that "the completion date of the providing the required product and service...shall be Sept 30, 2021." Does that timeline include Task 7 – Construction Oversight? In other words, is the intent that the construction and the related construction oversight and project close-out task are completed by 9/30/21
	<b>See answer to question #12</b>
Item 14:	On page RFP pages 2 and 15 it states the RFP response must be emailed to the City. However, on page 15, it also states, "The City only accepts proposals in hard copy format and does not accept proposals submitted via fax or email." Please re-confirm the City only accepts email submissions.
	<b>Correct, the City will only receive emailed submissions</b>
Item 15:	Can the City provide a copy of the Greeley Riverbanks Poudre River Trail Study mentioned in the RFP?
	<b>Please see the following hyperlink - <a href="https://nextcloud.greeleygov.com/index.php/s/d3oftAkblej9A6M">https://nextcloud.greeleygov.com/index.php/s/d3oftAkblej9A6M</a></b>
Item 16:	Has the City been awarded the CPW non-motorized trails grant submitted in the 2020-2021 cycle?
	<b>The City has been awarded grant funds to complete the design and construction of this project</b>
Item 17:	How far has Duran's engineer advanced their property conditions model?
	<b>The proposed conditions model has been updated to reflect structure modifications in the vicinity of the residence only. This will be available for the awarded consultant.</b>
Item 18:	The available Cache La Poudre Risk MAP hydraulic model indicates the Poudre River Trail is atop a 2-3-foot-high berm adjacent to the river channel. The model shows this berm contains the 25-year event. Does the City/landowner (Duran) require the proposed stabilization effort to retain containment of the 25-year event?
	<b>Containment of a specific flood event is not a goal of this project. That said, the current elevation of the trail will likely be roughly maintained for several reasons. The final design of the project will determine the final trail elevation and alignment that meets the overall protection goals of this project and maintains a no-rise condition</b>

Item 19:	The current Poudre River Trail alignment is within a 30-foot-wide strip owned by Weld County, surrounded by private land (Duran). Will the Task 4 Conceptual Design be limited to this strip?
	The existing trail is within a 30 ft wide right of way, and the new alignment is anticipated to stay completely within the existing ROW. Due to this constraint, design, permitting, and construction will need to take place with the adjacent landowner the City is already working with. There is also a chance an additional landowner that includes the north bank of the river may need to be coordinated with for various stages of the project
Item 20:	Does Weld County require post-construction surveying and hydraulic modeling to close-out the floodplain development permit?
	Yes
Item 21:	Has the City of Greeley completed the Cache La Poudre RiskMap model review, and when does the model become the effective regulatory model
	The City has been in review of the proposed RiskMap, and estimates for final adoption are early-to-mid 2022. This project is located in unincorporated Weld County and is subject to approval by the Weld County floodplain administrator, not the City floodplain administrator
Item 22:	Are you able to identify the consultant that represents the adjacent landowner, Duran, and would they be eligible to compete on this project?
	Otak, Inc. See item #1.
Item 23:	From that perspective, can you clarify the tasks anticipated to be completed by the landowner's consultant versus your consultant. The RFP indicates that they have been completing hydraulic modeling. Tasks for the project consultant implies that the City will complete hydraulic modeling and a FDP application with the design
	Portions of Task 1 and much of Task 2 has been completed by the adjacent landowner's firm. The City's successful consultant will complete Tasks 3 – 5, coordinate with the landowner's firm on Task 6 and complete Tasks 7 and 8. Coordination and collaboration between the landowner's and City's consultants will be critical for project success