

# Addendum #1



## Capital Project Committee

### Project Information

Project Name:	Development Impact Fee Study
Bid Number:	FL19-10-089
Date:	November 8, 2019
Project Manager:	Renee Wheeler

### Addendum Items

Item 1:	<p>What processes does the City wish to evaluate regarding the water, wastewater, and stormwater Plant Investment Fees (PIFs)? Do you want consultants to review and propose changes to the methodology, input assumptions and fee formulas; structure of the fees (e.g. change residential stormwater fee from \$/unit to \$/gross acre); administrative process, such as time of collection; or all of the above?</p> <p>Response: All of the above</p>
Item 2:	<p>Does this RFP include a review of water dedication and cash-in-lieu fees?</p> <p>Response: Not an item for review but they will be necessary for consideration within the municipality comparison to ensure an appropriate comparison.</p>
Item 3:	<p>Since the 2014 Duncan Associates study does not address stormwater fees, is it possible to get supporting documentation for the stormwater fees currently being collected by Greeley, which would include a narrative explanation of the methodology, specific formulas used to derive the fees, and source data for all input assumptions (i.e., variables used in the impact fee formulas)?</p> <p>Response: The model is available upon request.</p>
Item 4:	<p>The RFP includes a public Engagement Plan task, stating the City desires a plan for the communication strategy to educate and seek input from the development community. Does this task include implementation of the public engagement plan, which may include facilitation of meetings for the purpose of sharing information and consensus building, etc.? Or, is Greeley intending to implement the plan with its own resources?</p> <p>Response: The reference to "implementation" suggests to me that you are referring to at the conclusion of the study. However, Public engagement was intended to mean during the development of the firm's recommendation, the responding firm would conduct public meetings to get development/building feedback and explain options followed by the final City Council work session where the firm would present the options considered, pros/cons, the recommendation and the logic for the recommendation.</p>