

Addendum #1



Project Information

Project Name:	Terry Ranch Pipeline Design and Construction CMAR for Water & Sewer Department
Bid Number:	FK21-10-170
Date:	November 18, 2021
Project Manager:	Peter Champion

Addendum Questions

Question #1	Does the City desire the Design Consultant's proposal (ref Section IV, Page 21) and the CMAR Contractor's proposal (ref Section VII, Page 24) be submitted together in a sealed envelope or separate sealed envelopes?
Answer	The response may be submitted together or separate. Each team member shall be clearly identify the proposed partner for the project.
Question #2	In regards to the CMAR Contractor's proposal, does the City also desire 5 hard copies and 1 electronic copy on a flash drive similar to the Engineer's proposal?
Answer	Yes the City requires 5 hard copies and 1 electronic copy from the CMAR and the Engineer.
Question #3	On RFP Page 29 of 55, Paragraph D 'Selection', it states that "The City of Greeley will base their selection on the results from the combination proposals and presentation if required". Is it the City's intent to combine the proposal scores of both the Design Consultant and the CMAR Contractor to arrive at a "combined" selection score? Or is the City reserving the right to select a separate Design Consultant and a separate CMAR Contractor to develop a consultant/CMAR team that provides the best value for the City (ref Section VI, Page 23, Section VIII, Page 28)?
Answer	Yes the City will combine the scores from both the Consultant and CMAR proposals to create final submitted score for the proposed Consultant/CMAR team. The City will not selected separate Consultant and CMAR from separate teams.
Question #4	"The goal for this project is for a design engineer and contractor to propose as a team for the successful completion of this project." Does the word "goal" imply optional; for example, could an engineer submit without a contractor, or is that a disqualifier for submittal?
Answer	The Consultant and CMAR shall submit as a team. Single submissions from either the engineer or the CMAR will not be considered.

Question #5	Looking at the information provided on the CoG website and I didn't find a link to the construction plans. The only plans I found were the Utility drawings that show the existing utilities along the pipeline. Is there construction drawings for the project? If so, would you help me in acquiring them?
Answer	The construction plans are posted under attachment E "Terry Ranch Water Supply Concept Report_Vol 2_Final" https://nextcloud.greeleygov.com/index.php/s/zjsG5wxzkATQees
Question #6	Section VII. Proposal Submission – Contractor CMAR, J. Part 5 references "The Phase 2 CMAR Construction Services Fees shall be included in Attachment G calculated based on the two bid schedules for work on the removal of the 4" cast iron line located in 20th street and the pipeline replacement at 17th Street and 30th Ave. Ct as noted in Section 2.2. " The question regarding this reference is for clarification that the project noted is the correct project, and to provide the information noted in Section 2.2 if the noted project is correct.
Answer	Section VII. Proposal Submission – Contractor CMAR, J. Part 5 references shall be amended to state the following: J. Part 5 – Pre-Construction and Construction Fee and Rate Proposal The Proposer must complete RFP Attachment F (Fee and Rate Proposal Form) – with all required pricing information. The scope of CMAR services for which pricing is required is defined in RFP Attachment B (Scope of CMAR Services), which shall correspond to the fee provided for Phase 1: Pre-Construction Services. The Phase 2 CMAR Construction Services Fees shall be included in Attachment F calculated based on installation of 6 miles of 36" steel potable water transmission pipeline. The contractor shall include project contingencies, general conditions costs, overhead, profit, and Insurances and Bond associated costs. The overhead, profit, insurance, and bonds shall be fixed for the duration of the design and installation of the 6 miles of pipeline. Part 5 of the Proposal should describe the basis for the fee and rate proposal and discuss its viability from the CMAR's perspective.
Question #7	Appendix F references "Water Treatment Plant Modifications – Package B" Is this form to be filled out by the proposing CMAR for developing fees and submitted with the RFP response?
Answer	This answer will be addressed in Addendum #2, which will be posted at the beginng of next week 11/22/21 - 11/24/21. Thank you for your patience and understanding.
Question #8	Page 21, Section V. Response Format-Design Consultant states: "The Proposal must not exceed 30 total pages (with the front counting as one page, most or all 8½ x 11 inch with 1-inch or greater margins), excluding the transmittal letter, index or table of contents, front and back covers, title pages/separation tabs, and appendices." Based on the highlighted words, will you please clarify if the proposal cover counts towards the 30 page limit?
Answer	Front, back, and tabs do not count for pages

Question #9	Will the consulting team need to prepare supplemental mapping to fill in gaps and realignments along both the 6 mile and full alignment route and, if so, can an approximate length of added mapping needs be provided by Greeley? Please also indicate if all new mapping is anticipated (It does not appear to be warranted based on previous 30% design work to date).
Answer	This answer will be addressed in Addendum #2, which will be posted at the beginning of next week 11/22/21 - 11/24/21. Thank you for your patience and understanding.
Question #10	Is the City looking for Easement description support? If yes, what level of support is needed?
Answer	No
Question #11	Should Design Engineer's construction support services fee be separated from the design fee?
Answer	Yes
Question #12	Per our understanding, utility locates with SUE quality level D has been done on the 26 miles stretch. Does the City desire SUE level C or B for the remaining 27 miles 70 percent design?
Answer	C level
Question #13	RFP indicated updated information to redesign between stations 104+00 and 147+00 will be provided during the preproposal meeting. Would there be any information on the new development that will be shared in the first addenda?
Answer	The only information the City has on the proposed subdivision that the route needs to be designed around was posted under attachment E "Color Concept Plan 12.16.20"
Question #14	Do we include subcontractor resumes as part of our response in Appendix A?
Answer	If they are an essential component of your team, yes. It is the consultants choice to include any subcontractor resumes.
Question #15	Can you elaborate on the expected environmental permitting as part of the project? For example, Section 404 permitting, compliance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and other regulations.
Answer	See question #16
Question #16	Please confirm environmental support will be part of the base fee and not under optional services
Answer	Yes, environmental investigation will be included in the base fee that includes wetland habitat and water of the U.S., Bird & Raptor Nests and Habitat, Potential Habitat of Federal Listed T&E Species. Consultant to propose amount of anticipated mitigation time for the first six miles of the pipeline construction. Additional scope that would enhance or improve the project shall be additive alternates.
Question #17	The language on Attachment F- Key Personnel and Relevant Projects is centered around the CMAR contractor. For the Design firm's response, will this form be edited to more closely reflect a design project?

Answer	The design firms response will not use the Attachment F form, that is only for the CMAR.
Question #18	<p>These attachments are listed as only being required for the CMAR to turn in with their response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attachment G- Fee and Rate Proposal Form • Attachment I- Affirmation of Compliance • Attachment H- SOQ Checklist • Exhibit 4- Debarment/Suspension Certification Statement <p>Will you please confirm that the Design firm does NOT have to turn in these forms with their response?</p>
Answer	Correct, These forms are for the CMAR contactor ONLY. The Design firm does NOT need to include these forms
Question #19	Attachment G-Fee and Rate Proposal Form is only required for the CMAR to turn in with their response. Is there a form that you would like the Design firm to use when submitting their cost? If there is a required form, do we include in an Appendix or within the 30 page limit pages?
Answer	There is not a specific form for the design consultant to fill out.
Question #20	Does the City have coordinates or other location information for the bore holes identified in the CTL Thompson geotechnical report that can be provided to the successful design firm?
Answer	This has been attached with the Addendum
Question #21	The milestones listed for the Design firm are based on 30%, 70% and 100%. The milestones that are listed for the CMAR are 30%, 60%, and 90%. Will you please clarify which milestones are the correct milestones to base our responses on?
Answer	Milestones shall be 60%, 90%, 100%
Question #22	RFP lists the total length of the transmission pipeline to be 33 miles. But our mapping indicates only 30 miles. Can you clarify the discrepancy?
Answer	Upon review of the route, the 30 miles is correct. The 33 miles originally stated included the pipeline for the well field.
Question #23	Could the City please clarify when it would like the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) to be established. Page 7 of 55 of the RFP states the "CMAR Guaranteed Maximum Price shall be based on 100% design, which may also be referred to as the Issued For Pricing (IFP) set". However, CMAR Task 3.1 states in part "A GMP is anticipated to be set at no less than 90%-100% level of completion and will include supporting documentation" (Ref Page 10 of 55).
Answer	GMP shall be set at 90% design milestone. See question #21.
Question #24	Would it be possible for the City to provide the "Special Provisions" referenced with the CMAR Agreement and referenced in the General Conditions – 3.01.A.3 and GC Definition No. 57?
Answer	This has been attached with the Addendum

Question #25	Our team assumes the City desires the development of a GBR in addition to the “standard geotechnical report” during the design phase, but want to formally confirm. General Condition 3.01.A lists the Geotechnical Baseline Report (GBR) as a Contract Document. However, a GBR is not referenced in Task 1.3 Geotechnical Investigation under Task Series 1.0 Design Phase Services.
Answer	If boring is required for different crossings, the consultant shall include Geotechnical Baseline Report for each bored crossing
Question #26	Would it be possible for the City to clarify whether the GBR, if required, will be a Contract Document? General Condition 5.07.A states the GBR is a Contract Document, but General Condition 5.07.B (Limited Reliance by Contractor on Technical Data Authorized) may conflict and imply that a GBR may not be a Contract Document.
Answer	This answer will be addressed in Addendum #2, which will be posted at the beginning of next week 11/22/21 - 11/24/21. Thank you for your patience and understanding.
Question #27	Would it be possible for the City to provide the Supplementary Conditions referenced in General Condition 5.07.B? We are interested in better understanding what technical data the Contractor may rely upon?
Answer	This answer will be addressed in Addendum #2, which will be posted at the beginning of next week 11/22/21 - 11/24/21. Thank you for your patience and understanding.
Question #28	Would it be possible for the City to confirm in the addendum that the Design and CMAR pricing will be based on Phase 1 – the first 6 miles?
Answer	The design and CMAR pricing shall be based on the design and construction of the first 6 miles.
Question #29	Can the City please provide the form for “Attachment I” as not included in supplemental attachment document.
Answer	This answer will be addressed in Addendum #2, which will be posted at the beginning of next week 11/22/21 - 11/24/21. Thank you for your patience and understanding.
Question #30	On Page 7 of 55 – “Consultant shall investigate and design around environmental conditions and any wildlife that will be affected”. With this statement, can the City please confirm the desire is to avoid impacts to any wetlands or endangered species in order to avoid the need to obtain a Clean Water Act, Section 404 permit through the Corps of Engineers and Endangered Species Act permitting through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
Answer	Yes the desire is to avoid impact areas if possible.
Question #31	Will all relevant design information including design parameters, basis of design, other pertinent studies/reports, and native 30% CAD drawings/files be distributed to the awarded team and design firm, or will the design firm have to recreate the 30% design drawings for future milestone submissions?
Answer	All information used for completion of the 30% design including the CAD files will be supplied to the awarded team for use.
Question #32	Will a reclamation plan/design and reclamation work be part of this scope of work?
Answer	Yes

Question #33	On page 27 under J. Part 5 there is a paragraph that seems to reference two projects one is a 4" cast iron pipe removal and one is a waterline replacement in a residential subdivision. Is the verbiage referencing these two projects relevant? Or is the description supposed to be something else?
Answer	Section VII. Proposal Submission – Contractor CMAR, J. Part 5 references shall be amended to state the following: J. Part 5 – Pre-Construction and Construction Fee and Rate Proposal The Proposer must complete RFP Attachment F (Fee and Rate Proposal Form) – with all required pricing information. The scope of CMAR services for which pricing is required is defined in RFP Attachment B (Scope of CMAR Services), which shall correspond to the fee provided for Phase 1: Pre-Construction Services. The Phase 2 CMAR Construction Services Fees shall be included in Attachment F calculated based on installation of 6 miles of 36" steel potable water transmission pipeline. The contractor shall include project contingencies, general conditions costs, overhead, profit, and Insurances and Bond associated costs. The overhead, profit, insurance, and bonds shall be fixed for the duration of the design and installation of the 6 miles of pipeline. Part 5 of the Proposal should describe the basis for the fee and rate proposal and discuss its viability from the CMAR's perspective.
Question #34	Can the submission date be extended as the thanksgiving holiday is in the middle of the timeframe and in an effort to allow employees to take time to be with family this holiday season we would like to ask for a later bid date.
Answer	Yes, new submission date shall be December 14 at 2:00 pm. Interviews if needed shall be on January 12 & 13, Notice of Award shall be January 18 2022
Question #35	The RFP asks for project experience and key staff under Evaluation Criteria #1 and cost under Evaluation Criterion #4, but also instructs proposers to put project experience, key staff, and costs in the Appendices. Please clarify where these items should physically be placed in the proposal package.
Answer	These shall be included in the Appendices
Question #36	We understand that five 11x17 pages may be used. Can additional 11x17s be included in appendices, or is five the limit for the entire proposal package?
Answer	Yes, additional 11x17 may be included in the appendices as needed
Question #37	It appears that two PRV stations are being designed. Cactus hill would be part of the 100% design included in the 6 mile reach and the other is part of the 70% design. Can you verify if this is correct and that the percentage levels of design completion apply?
Answer	yes, the PRV at Cactus hill shall be 100% design as part of the first 6 miles. The other PRV station shall be at 70% design along with the remaining section of the pipeline
UPDATE	New submission date: December 14 before 2:00 pm. Please submit proposals to the Water and Sewer Department/Engineering 1001 11th Avenue, 2nd Floor. Interviews if needed shall be on January 12 & 13, 2022. Notice of Award shall be January 18 2022.