Addendum #1



Capital Project Committee

Project Information		
Project Name:	12th Street Storm Outfall: Phase 1B – Outfall to 12th Street and Water Quality Pond	
Bid Number:	#FD20-10-145	
Date:	November 5, 2020	
Project Manager:	Andrew T. Fisher	
Addendum Items		
Item 1:	All provided documents referenced in this addendum are available on Rocky Mountain Bid Net and City of Greeley's website	
Item 2:	The Pre-proposal presentation as planned was interrupted by technical difficulties. The presentation has been uploaded with this Addendum as PDF. Consultants are encouraged to review the presentation to evaluate for further questions.	
Item 3:	The 12th Street Preliminary Design Report was requested to be provided to proposers for review and preparation of proposals. The 12th Street Preliminary Design Report is available for download on BidNet and the City's website	
Item 4:	The 12th Street Benefit Cost Analysis was requested to be provided. This report will be made available to the successful consultant but will not be made available at this time. Recommendations from this report are not expected to influence proposal preparation.	
Item 5:	A question was asked whether additional geotechnical work would be required as a part of this project. During preliminary design, geotechnical borings had been collected at 2nd Avenue and 12th Street and at the outfall location, among other locations. While the recommended storm line alignment shifted from 2nd Avenue to CDOT ROW, no borings had been collected between 12th Street and 10th Street in 2nd Avenue.	
Item 6:	The Preliminary Design Geotechnical Data Report was requested to be released for proposers. This is available for download on BidNet. The City will not dictate Scope of Work for additional geotechnical work. Proposers are encouraged to evaluate the GDR and prepare an appropriate scope.	
Item 7:	A question was asked regarding the expected level of Community Outreach required with the project. Consultants are encouraged to propose a scope of community outreach assistance to enhance the project in combination of the firm's available resources and the City's stated project goals. It is not anticipated that Community Outreach will dictate major design decisions. However, there may be a level of community engagement to evaluate how the water quality pond appearance, and acceptable construction impacts. It is also anticipated this project will warrant a Project Website, on the consultant website, City website or both.	
Item 8:	A question was asked about the level of Construction Inspection anticipated to be included in the scope of work. At this time, Construction Services (Post-design services) should be limited to response to RFIs, submittal review, and clarifying questions on plans as defined in the RFP. This task may be expanded or supplemented in the future.	

Item 9:	A question was asked regarding the level of CDOT involvement to date. The City has held numerous meetings with CDOT regarding the project, including Region 4 hydraulics, utility permitting, staff bridge and water quality. CDOT is in support of the construction in CDOT ROW and modification of the existing Water Quality pond along highway 85. CDOT did not provide specific comment on scour protection requirements at the Outfall, as they require greater than 30% design sets to review. As Built drawings of the existing bridge, and the latest bridge inspection report have been provided to the City.
Item 10:	A question was asked whether construction materials testing should be included in the proposal. Construction materials QA and QC testing is beyond the scope of this RFP and would be contracted at the time of construction.
Item 11:	A question was asked whether Pre-proposal attendance was mandatory. The pre-proposal meeting was recommended but not mandatory.
Item 12:	The As Built drawings for the Highway 85 Bridge were requested. These are available for download on BidNet / City's website with this addendum